

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/828,226

McIntyre

Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Felten	3624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Daniel Felten	(3) Kevin A. McIntyre		
(2) <u>Allen Kagen (Reg. No. 36,178)</u>	(4)		
Date of Interview Apr 22, 2003			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descript	ion:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 11, and 17			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Rackson et al (US 6,415, 270 B1)	· · ·	·. ··	
Reasons were discussed on why the final rejection of the also an amendment was proposed to distinguish applicant			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	copy of the amendments that w	ould render the claims	allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendation of the supervisory paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDED IN INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM INCLUDED IN INTERVIEW.)	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO and of Interview requirements on the control of th	o the last Office action FILE A STATEMENT C	n has OF THE Iched
TECHNOLOGY (Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is	UCINIEN 3000 500	317000	
an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner'	s signature, if required	